

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/638,102	08/11/2000	David C. Schwartz	960296.97133	7761
	26710 7	7590 04/08/2003			
	QUARLES & BRADY LLP			EXAMINER	
	411 E. WISCO SUITE 2040	NSIN AVENUE		DAVIS, DE	BORAH A
	MILWAUKEE	E, WI 53202-4497		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1641	18
				DATE MAILED: 04/08/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/638,102	SCHWARTZ, DAVII	O C.			
Advisory Notion	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deborah A Davis	1641	-			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.			
3.⊠ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•		•			
Claim(s) allowed: 8 and 35.						
Claim(s) objected to: none.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-13,34 and 36</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22.						
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappr	oved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. Other:	•					
	Long V. Li Supervisory patent Technology C.J.	T EVAMMER Tep 1800				
	04/8	7/63				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has overcome the 112 first paragraph new matter rejection, however applicant has not responded to art rejections 102 and 103 therefore rejection is maintained..